



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/781,191 | 02/18/2004 | Floyd Backes | 160-022 | 1762 |
| 34845 7: | 590 10/06/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| STEUBING AND MCGUINESS & MANARAS LLP | | | BEAMER, TEMICA M | |
| 125 NAGOG P ACTON, MA | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 110101, 1 | 51.25 | | 2681 | |
| | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commence | 10/781,191 | BACKES ET AL | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Temica M. Beamer | 2681 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. () (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe | bruary 2004. | | | | | |
| | — | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the n | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | • | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | ٠ | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | xaminer | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | = ' ' | ` ' | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | | • • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | 100001101111111111111111111111111111111 | | | | |
| | mala aliku u anda a 05 11 0 0 0 0 44 0 (-). | (4) (0 | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | pnority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | ·(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | - Ala | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list t | or the certified copies not received | 1. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | • | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/781,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-5 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 10/781,137. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Art Unit: 2681

not patentably distinct from each other because both inventions are drawn to a program product comprising logic for detecting a device also using the same radio frequency channel and logic for detecting adjusting transmit power.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Almgren et al (Almgren), U.S. Patent No. 5,574,982.

Regarding claim 1, Almgren discloses a program product for use in an apparatus capable of communicating in a wireless communications environment via a radio frequency channel, the program product comprising a computer readable medium having embodied therein a computer program for storing data, the computer program comprising: logic for detecting that another device is also using the radio frequency channel; logic for adjusting transmit power in response to said detecting (col. 1, lines 13-22)

Regarding claim 2, Almgren discloses the program product of claim 1 wherein the logic for adjusting transmit power does so in response to a message received from

Art Unit: 2681

the another device, the message indicating the transmitted power level of the another device (col. 4, lines 24-39).

Regarding claim 3, Almgren discloses a program product for use in an apparatus capable of communicating in a wireless communications environment via a radio frequency channel, the program product comprising a computer readable medium having embodied therein a computer program for storing data, the computer program comprising: logic for detecting that at another device is also using the radio frequency channel; logic for adjusting transmit power in response to a message received from the another device, the message indicating the transmitted power level of the another device (col. 1, lines 13-22, col. 4, lines 24-39).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Hill et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,131,015, discloses two-way communication system for performing dynamic channel control.

Mitra, U.S. Patent No. 5,551,057, discloses cellular mobile radio system power control.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Temica M. Beamer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (alternate Fridays) 7:00am-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,191 Page 5

Art Unit: 2681

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on (571) 272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Temica M. Beamer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2681

tmb

TEMICA BEAMER PRIMARY EXAMINER

7129105